12.7 million registered boats
$\$ 37.5$ billion spent on boating
8.4\% decrease in traditional powerboat sales


## 2008 Martet

$D^{\prime}$ BADA
The Dejinitive Guide To Marine Businness Statistics

## BY THE NUMBERS:

> The economy's impact on boating
> Unit Sales \& Retail Expenditures
> Registration Breakdowns
> Wholesale Data Reports
> 10-year Dealer Benchmarking Data


As the old joke goes: 90 percent of statistics can be made to say anything ... 50 percent of the time. Numbers, tables and charts are meaningless unless they provide their readers credible information that can be used in meaningful ways.

And useful information is what we've tried to provide on the following pages.

This year's Dealers section begins by providing retailers information today's marine dealers can use to benchmark their business against some of the best of the best the Boating Industry Top 100 Dealers. We've shared some of that information in the past, but this year we drilled down more deeply into the results and operations of these successful companies to provide additional data on how they operate and the results they achieve.

We've also expanded the information from Spader Business Management that has become a regular feature of our Market Data Book, and NADA has partnered with us us to print some of the results of its Wholesale Data Report.

We hope you'll find this information useful.

## Top 100 Dealers

With Boating Industry's Top 100 Program in the middle of its fourth year, we provide a snapshot of the dealerships that have been selected to our list during the first three. The graph below highlights several bench-
 marking statistics to give an overview of the Top 100 from 2005 to 2007.

In the chart on the right, we've drilled down more deeply into our 2007 Top 100 for an in-depth look at the results these dealers have achieved and a glimpse of how they've done it.

We break down how they generate their revenue and examine the averages this elite group earns as gross profit in boats, service and overall.

| TOP 100 BENCHMARING STATISTICS |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |
| Avg. revenue per dealer (in millions) | $\$ 25.9$ | $\$ 28.8$ | $\$ 20.1$ |
| Total number of locations | 342 | 357 | 289 |
| Revenue per store (in millions) | $\$ 7.6$ | $\$ 8.1$ | $\$ 6.9$ |
| Avg. CSI Score | 94.6 | 95.4 | 95.97 |
| Use computerized inventory | 91 | 98 | 95 |
| Avg. number of boat brands | 4.9 | 5.1 | 4.9 |

2007 TOP 100 AVERAGES

|  |  | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales as a \% of revenue |  | 75.5\% |
| Service as a \% of revenue |  | 8.9\% |
| Rigging as a \% of revenue |  | 1.8\% |
| Finance \& Insurance as a \% of revenue |  | 1.5\% |
| Parts \& Accessories as a \% of revenu ${ }_{\text {Avg. per store }}$ |  | 7.3\% |
|  | 13.88 |  |
| Marina as a \% of revenue | 4.41 | 3.1\% |
|  | 28.76 |  |
| Restaurant as a \% of revenue | 22.36 | 0.4\% |
|  | 8.79 |  |
| Other as a \% of revenue | 12.65 | 1.5\% |
|  | 0.43 |  |
| Total number of FT employees | 16.01 | 40.12 |
| Total number of PT employees | 7.26 | 12.75 |
|  | 5.15 |  |
| New I/O boats sold | 1.74 | 83.12 |
| New Outboard boats sold |  | 65.26 |
| New Inboard boats sold |  | 26.19 |
| New Outboards sold |  | 37.70 |
| I/Os sold for re-power |  | 1.27 |
| Used I/O boats sold |  | 47.71 |
| Used Outboard boats sold |  | 22.33 |
| Used Inboard boats sold |  | 15.66 |
| usea úutioaras soia |  | $5.2 \overline{8}$ |

## Wage Rate Survey

This data on wage rates is taken from the American Boat Builders \& Repairers Association's Annual Wage Rate Survey. The information was gathered by ABBRA through Web questionnaires sent to its members. The wage rates seen here were derived by dividing the total earnings (including wage, salary and bonus) by 2080 to determine an hourly rate.

The average wages for each of the five positions noted increased in 2007, with the biggest jump coming for service managers, who saw their salaries increase $\$ 2.25$ from their 2006 level.

For full results of the survey, including the average wages for additional positions broken down in six U.S. regions, please contact ABBRA directly. The association can be found on the Web at www.abbra.org.

WAGE RATE SURVEY


## DEALERS

## Spader

Spader Business Management tracks the business metrics of all its 20 Group members and other dealers it works with and shares the key performance indicators with Boating Industry on a monthly basis.

To provide the information on the following pages, Spader determined the monthly averages for several key business metrics over the past 10 years, and we present the results for eight of those metrics - net profit, new and used boat sales, new and used boat inventory, personnel expense, interest expense and advertising expense - in the graphs, which begin on the right-hand side of this page.

To contact Spader, call 800/772-3377 or visit the company's Web site at www.spader.com. You can also find more data on the Boating Industry Web site, www.boating-industry.com.

Personnel expenses climb steadily as climb steadily as
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NET PROFIT 10-YEAR TREND



PERSONNEL EXPENSE


## NEW BOAT SALES 10-YEAR TREND



PRE-OWNED BOAT SALES 10-YEAR TREND


NEW BOAT INVENTORY 10-YEAR TREND


PRE-OWNED BOAT INVENTORY 10-YEAR TREND


## ADVERTISING EXPENSE



Advertising expenses are highest during boat-show season and bump up once more during the busy summer months with a smaller bump around the holidays.

## INTEREST EXPENSE



Interest expense is greatest from April to June and least in December.

# Wholesale Data Report 

Boating Industry magazine partnered with NADA to create the wholesale data reports on the following pages. The information is based on results obtained from national auctions, NADA Dealer Advisory Board members and several industry related organizations.

The survey price represents an average of the results gathered for each given model; extremes of unusually high or low results have been removed from the report. The NADA Used Trade-In price reflects the average trade-in valuation of a clean used boat.

NADA Appraisal Guides is the nation's leading authority for vehicle valuations and specifications to dealers, banks, government agencies, insurance companies, credit unions and manufacturers. For additional information, contact Captain Troy D. Heidemann, market/data analyst, NADA Appraisal Guides, at 800/966-6232, ext. 261, or theidemann@nadaguides.com. You can also find further information at www.nadaguides.com.


A
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## PRE-OWNED OUTBOARD BOAT SALES

| 25 FEET AND UNDER - ALUMINUM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | SURVEY PRICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2005 | TRACKER MARINE | PRO TEAM 175 (***) | 18 | \$5,381 | \$5,200 |
| 2 | 2004 | TRACKER MARINE | PRO TEAM 185(***) | 16 | \$5,764 | \$5,720 |
| 3 | 2003 | LUND BOAT CO. | 1800 FISHERMAN | 14 | \$6,220 | \$6,350 |
| 4 | 2003 | LUND BOAT CO. | 1700 FISHERMAN | 12 | \$5,410 | \$5,560 |
| 5 | 2003 | CRESTLINER INC. | 1850 SPORTFISH | 11 | \$6,258 | \$6,080 |
| 25 FEET AND UNDER - FIBERGLASS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | SURVEY PRICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2004 | SEA RAY BOATS | 185 SPORT(***) | 17 | \$11,982 | \$11,720 |
| 2 | 2000 | TRITON BOATS | TR-186(***) | 15 | \$10,148 | \$10,410 |
| 3 | 2000 | RANGER BOATS/WOOD MFG. | 520DVX(**) | 14 | \$16,483 | \$16,020 |
| 4 | 2004 | STRATOS BOATS | 200 PRO XL/BS ${ }^{* * * \text { ) }}$ | 12 | \$18,576 | \$18,790 |
| 5 | 1994 | FOUR WINNS | 180 HORIZON(***) | 11 | \$4,267 | \$4,080 |

$\left(^{* *}\right)$ Includes the value of the outboard motor. $\left({ }^{* * *}\right)$ Includes the value of the outboard motor and trailer.

## PRE-OWNED INBOARD BOAT SALES

## 18 FEET TO 25 FEET

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { PRICE } \end{aligned}$ | NADA <br> USED TRADE-IN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2001 | CORRECT CRAFT INC. | SUPER AIR NAUTIQU | *) 14 | \$21,788 | \$21,420 |
| 2 | 2001 | SKI CENTURION | ELITE BOWRIDER | 12 | \$15,464 | \$15,650 |
| 3 | 2000 | MOOMBA | OUTBACK/SK(*) | 10 | \$12,512 | \$12,100 |
| 4 | 1999 | MALIBU BOATS | SUN SETTER LXI | 9 | \$13,952 | \$14,330 |
| 5 | 1997 | CORRECT CRAFT INC. | SKI NAUTIQUE | 8 | \$11,482 | \$11,280 |
| 26 FEET TO 38 FEET |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { PRICE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2000 | SILVERTON MARINE | SPORT BRIDGE 330 | 10 | \$56,554 | \$55,950 |
| 2 | 2000 | CARVER YACHTS | 350 MARINER | 8 | \$73,215 | \$74,150 |
| 3 | 1994 | LUHRS | T-32 CONV/SF | 7 | \$46,865 | \$44,900 |
| 4 | 1995 | SEA RAY BOATS | 330 SUNDANCER | 6 | \$53,287 | \$52,400 |
| 5 | 1997 | SEA RAY BOATS | 370 SUNDANCER | 4 | \$111,252 | \$110,750 |

[^0]
## PRE-OWNED STERN DRIVE BOAT SALES

| 25 FEET AND UNDER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | SURVEY PRICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2004 | BAYLINER MARINE CORP. | RUNABOUT 175 BR(*) | 21 | \$6,524 | \$6,360 |
| 2 | 1998 | SEA RAY BOATS | 180 BOW RIDER(*) | 19 | \$6,598 | \$6,420 |
| 3 | 2000 | BAYLINER MARINE CORP. | 1950 LX BR (CL)(*) | 17 | \$5,649 | \$5,850 |
| 4 | 2000 | CROWNLINE BOATS | 202 BR(*) | 14 | \$9,985 | \$9,770 |
| 5 | 1997 | SEA RAY BOATS | 210 BR(*) | 12 | \$10,321 | \$10,190 |
| 26 FEET AND OVER |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | SURVEY PRICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 2003 | SEA RAY BOATS | 240 SUNDECK | 20 | \$21,894 | \$22,000 |
| 2 | 1997 | RINKER BOAT CO. | FIESTA VEE 266/EC | 17 | \$13,560 | \$13,300 |
| 3 | 1999 | BAYLINER MARINE CORP. | CIERA 2655 SUNBRIDGE | 16 | \$15,457 | \$15,750 |
| 4 | 1998 | MAXUM MARINE | 3000/SCR | 9 | \$30,320 | \$30,050 |
| 5 | 1998 | BAJA BOATS | 29 OUTLAW | 5 | \$36,586 | \$36,000 |

## PRE-OWNED SAILBOAT SALES

## 25 FEET AND UNDER

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | SURVEY PRICE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2004 | HUNTER MARINE | HUNTER 240 ${ }^{*}$ ) | 10 | \$13,390 | \$13,110 |
| 2 | 2000 | CATALINA YACHTS | CATALINA 250 WATER BAL. | 9 | \$12,126 | \$12,330 |
| 3 | 2004 | INTERNATIONAL MARINE | WEST WIGHT POT. 19/CU(*) | ) 7 | \$10,395 | \$10,010 |
| 4 | 1995 | HUNTER MARINE | HUNTER 23.5 | 6 | \$9,352 | \$9,010 |
| 5 | 1997 | SEAWARD | SEAWARD 23 | 5 | \$14,942 | \$14,710 |

## 26 FEET AND OVER

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MODEL } \\ & \text { YEAR } \end{aligned}$ | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF SURVEYS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SURVEY } \\ & \text { PRICE } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NADA } \\ & \text { USED TRADE-IN } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2000 | MACGREGOR | MACGREGOR 26X/SL(*) | 11 | \$11,014 | \$10,800 |
| 2 | 2000 | BENETEAU | BENETEAU 361/CU | 9 | \$61,922 | \$61,600 |
| 3 | 2001 | CATALINA YACHTS | CATALINA 320 | 8 | \$66,798 | \$67,100 |
| 4 | 1995 | BENETEAU | OCEANIS 321 | 6 | \$42,458 | \$41,900 |
| 5 | 1998 | HUNTER MARINE | HUNTER 310/SL | 5 | \$43,675 | \$42,950 |

[^1]PRE-OWNED PERSONAL WATERCRATT SALES

|  | MODEL <br> YEAR | COMPANY | MODEL | NUMBER OF <br> SURVEYS | SURVEY <br> PRICE | NADA <br> USED TRADE-IN |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 1997 | SEA DOO/BOMBARDIER | GTX 5642 | 17 | $\$ 2,251$ | $\$ 2,340$ |
| 2 | 2006 | YAMAHA | WAVE RUNNER VX110 DLX. | 15 | $\$ 5,138$ | $\$ 5,270$ |
| 3 | 2005 | SEA DOO/BOMBARDIER | RXT | 14 | $\$ 7,089$ | $\$ 6,980$ |
| 4 | 2006 | KAWASAKI | STX-15F | 12 | $\$ 5,683$ | $\$ 5,820$ |
| 5 | 2004 | HONDA | AQUA TRAX R-12X | 11 | $\$ 6,257$ | $\$ 5,960$ |



## Consumers Section Presented By



## FOWTRSPORT\& MARINT:

With the burst of the housing bubble and economic indicators signaling a drop in consumer confidence, it's more important than ever to understand the wants and needs of the customer and the dynamics of the marketplace.

To help accomplish this, we begin this section with a look at the number of boat registrations this past year, the numbers of boats in use by type, and a state-by-state breakdown of where the money on boating is being spent around the country.

We then offer the latest survey information on recreational participation from the National Sporting Goods Association, which reveals a significant jump in the number of people around the country who boat.

And we end this section with the results of three more surveys, one of which looks at the impact of the Discover Boating campaign, while the other two ask boaters what they like and don't like about boat shows and their reasons for going, or not going, to them.

# Boats InUse 

The National Marine Manufacturers Association estimated that the use of non-registered boats rose in 2007 and use of registered boats fell, according to information excerpted on this page and the next from NMMA's 2007 Recreational Boating Abstract.

The number of registered boats was up, year over year, in three regions around the country: the South Atlantic (Del., D.C., Fla., Ga., Md., N.C., S.C., Va., and W. Va.), West North Central (Iowa, Kan., Minn., Mo., Neb., N.D. and S.D.) and Mountain (Ariz., Colo., Idaho, Mont., Nev., N.M., Utah and Wyo.) regions.

However, the number of registered boats fell in the other regions and was down overall to $12,746,126$ from $12,942,414$ the year before.

For more information about NMMA's 2007 Recreational Statistical Abstract, contact Vicky Yu at 312/946-6261 or vyu@nmma.org. To order a copy of the Abstract, contact NMMA Fulfillment Coordinator Chris Keil at orderdesk@nmma.org.

TOP 20 STATES IN BOATING EXPENDITURES

| RANK COMPARED TO '06 |  | STATE |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | FLORIDA | 1 |
| Moved up one spot | TEXAS | 2 |
| Dropped one position | CALIFORNIA | 3 |
| Moved up one spot | NEW YORK | 4 |
| Dropped one position | NORTH CAROLINA | 5 |
|  | WASHINGTON | 6 |
| Moved up two spots | GEORGIA | 7 |
| Moved up seven spots | ALABAMA | 8 |
| Dropped one position | MICHIGAN | 9 |
| Moved up three spots | SOUTH CAROLINA | 10 |
|  | LOUISIANA | 11 |
|  | VIRGINIA | 12 |
| Dropped three positions | WISCONSIN | 13 |
| Moved up two spots | ILLINOIS | 14 |
| Dropped eight positions | MINNESOTA | 15 |
| Dropped two positions | NEW JERSEY | 16 |
| Moved up six spots | TENNESSEE | 17 |
| Dropped one position | DELAWARE | 18 |
| Dropped one position | MARYLAND | 19 |
|  | MISSOURI | 20 |

RECREATIONAL BOATS IN USE BY BOAT TYPE


## TOTAL RECREATIONAL BOATS IN USE



REGISTRATION AND EXPENDITURES SNAPSHOT


|  | POPULATION | REGISTERED BOATS 2006 | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { OF } \\ \text { REG. } \\ \text { BOATS } \end{gathered}$ | PEOPLE PER BOAT | SQ. MILES INLAND WATER | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MILES } \\ \text { coASTAL } \\ \text { SHOREINE } \end{array}$ | POWER воАTS | OUTBOARD MOTORS | Sales in | in thousands ACCESSORIISS | of U.S. Dollars TOTAL | \% OF total SALES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NEW ENGLAND |  | 547,379 | 4.29\% |  |  |  | \$672,946 | \$98,731 | \$9,819 | \$109,073 | \$832,400 |  |
| Connecticut | 3,483,000 | 108,701 | 0.85\% | 2.04 | 698 | 618 | \$160,258\$ | 20,553 | \$1,735 | \$22,700 | \$182,547 | 1.26\% |
| Maine | 1,306,000 | 113,276 | 0.89\% | 11.53 | 4,523 | 3,478 | \$125,379 | \$17,322 | \$2,286 | \$22,089 | \$167,076 | 1.15\% |
| Massachusetts | 6,433,000 | 148,640 | 1.17\% | 43.28 | 2,717 | 1,519 | \$186,998 | \$39,227 | \$2,889 | \$27,806 | \$229,114 | 1.58\% |
| New Hampshire | 1,288,000 | 101,297 | 0.79\% | 2.72 | 382 | 131 | \$104,408 | \$10,219 | \$1,711 | \$23,643 | \$139,981 | 0.97\% |
| Rhode Island | 1,076,000 | 43,375 | 0.34\% | 4.81 | 500 | 384 | \$71,945 | \$8,379 | \$748 | \$7,663 | 81,072 | 0.56\% |
| Vermont | 619,000 | 32,090 | 0.25\% | 19.29 | 366 |  | \$23,959 | \$3,029 | \$448 | \$5,172 | \$32,609 | 0.23\% |
| SOUTH ATLANTIC |  | 2,703,004 | 21.21\% |  |  |  | \$3,140,749 | \$935,664 | \$68,201 | \$717,137 | \$4,554,138 | 31.45\% |
| Delaware | 7,000 | 59,192 | 0.46\% | 13.80 | 53 | 381 | \$265,409 | \$13,773 | \$1,789 | \$23,076 | \$304,048 | 2.10\% |
| District of Columbia | a 563,000 | 2,425 | 0.02\% | 232.16 | 7 |  | \$- | \$2,608 | 0 | - | \$2,608 | 0.02\% |
| Florida | 17,019,000 | 988,652 | 7.76\% | 17.21 | 11,761 | 8,426 | \$1,334,934 | \$479,358 | \$27,527 | \$287,477 | \$2,129,296 | 14.70\% |
| Georgia | 8,685,000 | 336,579 | 2.64\% | 25.80 | 1,522 | 2,344 | \$287,093 | \$81,120 | \$8,191 | \$87,581 | \$463,986 | 3.20\% |
| Maryland | 5,509,000 | 204,277 | 1.60\% | 26.97 | 2,633 | 3,190 | \$244,543 | \$50,016 | \$3,478 | \$42,910 | \$298,038 | 2.06\% |
| North Carolina | 8,407,000 | 370,291 | 2.91\% | 22.70 | 5,103 | 3,375 | \$411,254 | \$128,337 | \$11,330 | \$113,936 | \$550,920 | 3.80\% |
| South Carolina | 4,147,000 | 436,075 | 3.42\% | 9.51 | 1,896 | 2,876 | \$278,899 | \$96,053 | \$8,787 | \$81,340 | \$383,738 | 2.65\% |
| Virginia | 7,386,000 | 248,091 | 1.95\% | 29.77 | 3,171 | 3,315 | \$285,864 | \$76,443 | \$5,808 | \$69,427 | \$368,116 | 2.54\% |
| West Virginia | 1,810,000 | 57,422 | 0.45\% | 31.52 | 145 |  | \$32,753 | \$7,955 | \$1,288 | \$11,391 | \$53,388 | 0.37\% |
| MIDDLE ATLANTIC |  | 1,048,132 | 8.22\% |  |  |  | \$848,065 | \$165,485 | \$13,571 | \$172,185 | \$1,157,236 | 7.99\% |
| New Jersey | 8,638,000 | 205,967 | 1.62\% | 41.94 | 1,303 | 1,792 | \$257,004 | \$38,927 | \$3,327 | \$48,259 | \$347,518 | 2.40\% |
| New York | 19,190,000 | 497,975 | 3.91\% | 38.54 | 7,251 | 1,850 | \$430,316 | \$82,083 | \$6,112 | \$81,856 | \$600,367 | 4.15\% |
| Pennsylvania | 12,365,000 | 344,190 | 2.70\% | 35.92 | 1,239 | 89 | \$160,744 | \$44,475 | \$4,133 | \$42,070 | \$209,352 | 1.45\% |
| EAST NORTH CENI | ITRAL | 2,424,829 | 19.02\% |  |  |  | \$1,142,658 | \$296,193 | \$32,010 | \$344,415 | \$1,575,808 | 0.88\% |
| Illinois | 12,654,000 | 383,615 | 3.01\% | 32.99 | 2,324 |  | \$233,159 | \$58,865 | \$5,536 | \$61,668 | \$359,228 | 2.48\% |
| Indiana | 6,196,000 | 164,678 | 1.29\% | 37.62 | 550 |  | \$133,552 | \$37,703 | \$4,379 | \$43,278 | \$218,912 | 1.51\% |
| Michigan | 10,080,000 | 828,529 | 6.50\% | 12.17 | 40,001 |  | \$306,896 | \$71,801 | \$7,105 | \$90,528 | \$385,802 | 2.66\% |
| Ohio | 11,436,000 | 412,256 | 3.23\% | 27.74 | 3,875 |  | \$203,459 | \$40,839 | \$4,516 | \$53,225 | \$248,814 | 1.72\% |
| Wisconsin | 5,472,000 | 635,751 | 4.99\% | 8.61 | 11,190 |  | \$265,593 | \$86,985 | \$10,474 | \$95,715 | \$363,051 | 2.51\% |
| WEST NORTH CEN | NTRAL | 1,704,156 | 13.37\% |  |  |  | \$695,318 | \$227,669 | \$23,572 | \$234,716 | \$990,625 | 6.84\% |
| lowa | 2,944,000 | 234,335 | 1.84\% | 12.56 | 401 |  | \$98,732 | \$23,638 | \$3,074 | \$31,711 | \$157,155 | 1.09\% |
| Kansas | 2,724,000 | 95,677 | 0.75\% | 28.47 | 459 |  | \$52,008 | \$11,875 | \$1,157 | \$14,728 | \$65,041 | 0.45\% |
| Minnesota | 5,059,000 | 862,937 | 6.77\% | 5.86 | 7,326 |  | \$245,495 | \$100,766 | \$10,289 | \$92,363 | \$356,551 | 2.46\% |
| Missouri | 5,704,000 | 324,826 | 2.55\% | 17.56 | 811 |  | \$208,859 | \$58,177 | \$5,943 | \$62,699 | \$272,979 | 1.88\% |
| Nebraska | 1,739,000 | 83,313 | 0.65\% | 20.87 | 481 |  | \$37,304 | \$9,627 | \$1,088 | \$12,356 | \$60,375 | 0.42\% |
| North Dakota | 634,000 | 49,638 | 0.39\% | 12.77 | 1,710 |  | \$26,912 | \$13,548 | \$1,102 | \$10,904 | \$41,562 | 0.29\% |
| South Dakota | 764,000 | 53,430 | 0.42\% | 14.30 | 1,224 |  | \$26,007 | \$10,037 | \$918 | \$9,954 | \$36,961 | 0.26\% |
| EAST SOUTH CENT | TRAL | 900,729 | 7.07\% |  |  |  | \$697,488 | \$226,786 | \$22,207 | \$218,735 | \$1,061,551 | 7.33\% |
| Alabama | 4,501,000 | 271,658 | 2.13\% | 16.57 | 1,673 | 607 | \$252,574 | \$81,797 | \$7,908 | \$78,902 | \$421,181 | 2.91\% |
| Kentucky | 4,118,000 | 177,951 | 1.40\% | 23.14 | 679 |  | \$103,984 | \$36,075 | \$3,413 | \$33,729 | \$143,472 | 0.99\% |
| Mississippi | 2,881,000 | 179,433 | 1.41\% | 16.06 | 1,520 | 359 | \$105,059 | \$45,221 | \$4,535 | \$36,169 | \$190,983 | 1.32\% |
| Tennessee | 5,842,000 | 271,687 | 2.13\% | 21.50 | 926 |  | \$235,871 | \$63,693 | \$6,351 | \$69,935 | \$305,915 | 2.11\% |
| WEST SOUTH CEN | NTRAL | 1,318,045 | 10.34\% |  |  |  | \$1,225,666 | \$409,821 | \$39,286 | \$379,611 | \$1,719,452 | 11.87\% |
| Arkansas | 2,726,000 | 199,189 | 1.56\% | 13.69 | 1,107 |  | \$126,570 | \$42,217 | \$5,183 | \$44,678 | \$218,649 | 1.51\% |
| Louisiana | 4,496,000 | 306,366 | 2.40\% | 14.68 | 8,277 | 7,721 | \$232,416 | \$138,304 | \$11,997 | \$86,778 | \$382,717 | 2.64\% |
| Oklahoma | 3,512,000 | 216,556 | 1.70\% | 16.22 | 1,224 |  | \$142,660 | \$31,238 | \$3,757 | \$42,689 | \$177,655 | 1.23\% |
| Texas | 22,119,000 | 595,934 | 4.68\% | 37.12 | 6,687 | 3,359 | \$724,019 | \$198,062 | \$18,349 | \$205,466 | \$940,431 | 6.49\% |
| MOUNTAIN |  | 615,017 | 4.83\% |  |  |  | \$660,473 | \$80,003 | \$8,073 | \$156,418 | \$839,299 | 5.80\% |
| Arizona | 5,581,000 | 145,023 | 1.14\% | 38.48 | 364 |  | \$158,649 | \$20,327 | \$2,156 | \$45,047 | \$226,179 | 1.56\% |
| Colorado | 4,551,000 | 98,067 | 0.77\% | 46.41 | 371 |  | \$86,736 | \$12,662 | \$1,332 | \$20,902 | \$100,731 | 0.70\% |
| Idaho | 1,366,000 | 88,464 | 0.69\% | 15.44 | 823 |  | \$143,832 | \$11,222 | \$1,112 | \$19,908 | \$176,074 | 1.22\% |
| Montana | 918,000 | 81,935 | 0.64\% | 11.20 | 1,490 |  | \$66,456 | \$11,174 | \$1,243 | \$17,793 | \$78,873 | 0.54\% |
| Nevada | 2,241,000 | 59,957 | 0.47\% | 37.38 | 761 |  | \$72,367 | \$7,555 | \$653 | \$18,434 | \$99,008 | 0.68\% |
| New Mexico | 1,875,000 | 38,794 | 0.30\% | 48.33 | 234 |  | \$22,439 | \$4,489 | \$445 | \$7,360 | \$34,735 | 0.24\% |
| Utah | 2,351,000 | 76,481 | 0.60\% | 30.74 | 2,736 |  | \$89,551 | \$7,709 | \$752 | \$21,669 | \$98,014 | 0.68\% |
| Wyoming | 501,000 | 26,296 | 0.21\% | 19.05 | 713 | - | \$20,444 | \$4,863 | \$379 | \$5,305 | \$25,685 | 0.18\% |
| PACIFIC |  | 1,415,594 | 11.11\% |  |  |  | \$1,471,171 | \$248,686 | \$15,348 | \$275,909 | \$1,752,299 | 12.10\% |
| Alaska | 649,000 | 49,533 | 0.39\% | 13.10 | 86,050 | 33,904 | \$94,963 | \$40,079 | \$1,728 | \$13,277 | \$150,048 | 1.04\% |
| California | 35,484,000 | 893,828 | 7.01\% | 39.70 | 7,734 | 3,427 | \$719,030 | \$95,097 | \$6,017 | \$156,735 | \$820,145 | 5.66\% |
| Hawaii | 1,258,000 | 15,109 | 0.12\% | 83.26 | 4,508 | 1,052 | \$39,463 | \$6,967 | \$187 | \$3,817 | \$50,433 | 0.35\% |
| Oregon | 3,506,000 | 186,497 | 1.46\% | 18.80 | 2,383 | 1,410 | \$202,477 | \$36,931 | \$3,141 | \$35,211 | \$242,549 | 1.67\% |
| Washington | 6,131,000 | 270,627 | 2.12\% | 22.65 | 4,720 | 3,026 | \$415,237 | \$69,611 | \$4,275 | \$66,870 | \$489,123 | 3.38\% |
| TOTALS | 290,810,000 | 12,746,126 | 00.00\% | 22.82 | 251,084 | 88,63 | 0,554, | 2,689,03 | 232,0 | 2,60 | \$14 | 100\% |

[^2]
## Participation in sports and other recreations

Participation in boating was up nearly 9 percent last year, from 2006, according to the results of the 2007 National Sporting Goods Association Sports Participation Report. That increase was enough to propel boating (No. 10) into the top 10 recreational activities in the United States, up three places from 2006.

The survey found that 31.9 million people participated in boating in 2007, while 35.3 million said they had gone fishing, which was No. 7 on the list.

Kayaking, which wasn't ranked in 2006, had 5.9 million participants and ranked No. 35 , while water skiing was No. 38, with 5.3 million participants.

The survey defined a participant as someone age seven or older who took part in the sport or activity more than once in a calendar year.

| PARTICIPATION IN BOATING AND RELATED RECREATIONS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Participation in millions | \% change '06-07 | Last years ranking |
| 1. Exercise Walking | 89.8 | 2.7\% | 1 |
| 2. Exercising with Equipment | 52.8 | 0.8\% | 3 |
| 3. Swimming | 52.3 | -7.3\% | 2 |
| 4. Camping (vacation/overnite) | ) 47.5 | -2.2\% | 4 |
| 5. Bowling | 43.5 | -2.9\% | 5 |
| 6. Bicycle Riding | 37.4 | 5.0\% | 8 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 7. Fishing | 35.3 | -13.0\% | 6 |
| 8. Workout at Club | 33.8 | -3.0\% | 7 |
| 9. Weight Lifting | 33.2 | -0.9\% | 10 |
| 10. Boating, Motor/Power | 31.9 | 8.9\% | 13 |
| 11. Running/Jogging | 31.8 | -9.8\% | 14 |
| 12. Aerobic Exercising | 30.3 | -9.9\% | 9 |
| 13. Billiards/Pool | 29.5 | -7.3\% | 11 |
| 14. Hiking | 28.6 | -7.5\% | 12 |
| 15. Basketball | 24.1 | -9.7\% | 15 |
| 16. Golf | 22.7 | -7.0\% | 16 |
| 17. Target Shooting | 20.9 | -9.7\% | 18 |
| 18. Hunting with Firearms | 19.5 | -2.2\% | 17 |
| 19. Baseball | 14.0 | -4.7\% | 19 |
| 20. Soccer | 13.8 | -1.8\% | 20 |
| 21. Backpack/Wilderness Camp | p 13.0 | -2.4\% | 21 |
| 22. Tennis | 12.3 | 18.7\% | 26 |
| 23. Dart Throwing | 12.1 | NA | NR |
| 24. Volleyball | 12.0 | 8.7\% | 24 |
| 25. In-Line Roller Skating | 10.7 | 2.1\% | 25 |
| 26. Yoga | 10.7 | NA | NR |
| 27. Scooter Riding | 10.6 | 11.4\% | 28 |
| 28. Skateboarding | 10.1 | 4.2\% | 27 |
| 29. Softball | 10.0 | -20.0\% | 22 |
| 30. Football (tackle) | 9.2 | -8.9\% | 23 |
| 31. Paintball Games | 7.4 | -7.0\% | 30 |
| 32. Mountain Biking | 7.4 | -13.1\% | 29 |
| 33. Target Shooting | 6.6 | -7.9\% | 35 |
| 34. Archery | 6.6 | NA | NR |
| 35. Kayaking | 5.9 | NA | NR |
| 36. Hunting w/Bow \& Arrow | 5.7 | -1.9\% | 34 |
| 37. Skiing | 5.5 | -14.1\% | 32 |
| 38. Water Skiing | 5.3 | -16.2\% | 33 |
| 39. Snowboarding | 5.1 | -2.7\% | 36 |
| 40. Mtn/Rock Climbing | 4.6 | NA | NR |
| 41. Muzzleloading | 3.6 | -3.2\% | 40 |
| 42. Scuba Diving (open water) | 2.4 | NA | NR |
| 43. Wrestling | 2.1 | -1.8\% | 39 |
| 44. Hockey (ice) | 2.1 | -10.3\% | 42 |
| 45. Skiing (cross country) | 1.7 | -35\% | 41 |
| 46. Lacrosse | 1.2 | NA | NR |
| Source: National Sporting Goods Association (NSGA) |  |  |  |

# Discover Boating 

For the second consecutive year, Left Brain Marketing conducted a study among people who opted in at the Discover Boating Web site to determine the perceived helpfulness of the program.

A total of 789 individuals participated in the survey, which was conducted in July 2007.

From the responses, it's clear the program is reaching its intended target. Forty-one percent of those who opted in have never owned a boat but were considering purchasing one. Most were in 25 -to- 54 age range. The most popular reason for visit-

CONTINUED BELOW
PERCENTAGE WHO PURCHASED A BOAT AFTER VISITING


OWNERSHIP INTEREST AND BOATING EXPERIENCE OF VISITORS TO DISCOVER BOATING WEB SITE


INFLUENCE OF DISCOVER BOATING ON PURCHASING DECISION

ing Discover Boating was to obtain fundamental information on boat brands and types to help them choose the right boat for their needs.

After visiting the site, 16 percent of first-time prospective buyers purchased a boat and a high proportion indicated the program had a "major" or "moderate" influence on their purchase decision.

This was true despite the fact that only 58 percent of first-time prospects recalled receiving any follow up information from dealers or manufacturers and suggests that sales could be even stronger if more companies provided relevant and timely information.

For additional details, please contact Jerry Mona at 913-764-6960 or jerrym@leftbraininc.com

[^3]
## Mini Boat Show Survey

 n February 2008, Left Brain Marketing conducted a survey regarding 2008 boat show attendance among a random sample of 200 boat owners that are members of the online panel developed between LBM and Survey Sampling International.At the time of the survey, roughly one third of boat owners indicated they would be attending a boat show in 2008.

Of those attending, more than 2 in 3 indicated they would be buying a boat within 3 years. In addition to wanting to see what's new, many indicated that they go to the show for fun and entertainment.

Among those not attending, the main reason they cited was having no interest in buying a boat in the near future.

For additional information, please contact Jerry Mona at jerrym@leftbraininc.com or Christine Thurm at christinet@leftbraininc.com.

REASONS FOR NOT ATTENDING A BOAT SHOW IN 2008


REASONS FOR ATTENDING A BOAT SHOW IN 2008


CONSUMERS Consumer Habits Survey Consumer Habits

Every quarter, Boat Trader reaches out to its thousands of newsletter recipients and people it meets at boat shows and other industry events, to learn more about consumer preferences and habits.

The first five graphs below cover the company's Boat Trader Consumer Research

WHY DO YOU ATTEND BOAT SHOWS?


ADS WITH MOST IMPACT


HOW SOON DO YOU EXPECT DEALER TO RESPOND?


HOW DO YOU SELECT A DEALER?


Study, Q4 2007, which had more than 2,800 respondents. The Q4 Study focused on consumer expectations for boat shows. The last three graphs are from the Boat Trader Consumer Research Study, Q1 2008. About 1,200 people took the survey, which asked about a myriad of boating habits.
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It will come as no surprise that the results in this section, which quantify the number of units sold, their average unit cost, and the total retail value of 10 separate segments of the boating industry, are not, for the most part, positive. The numbers in some categories of some segments set record lows for the span of time that the National Marine Manufacturers Association has been compiling that data.

However, not all the news is negative. Some segements of the industry have done well, even as others have struggled.

Total retail sales in the Outboard Boat segment, for example, jumped by more than $\$ 140$ million dollars in 2007, while the total retail value of sales in the Inflatables segment broke its previous high mark by more than $\$ 50$ million.

The average unit cost was up in several segments, including sailboats, personal watercraft (where it was at an all-time high) and the ski/wakeboat segment, where the average unit cost rose for the fourth-consecutive year.

Dollar sales of outboard boats and inflatables rose in 2007 and were nearly even in the ski/wakeboard boat segment as well as the personal watercraft segment.
That was one silver lining to what otherwise seemed to be a largely dark cloud of information on the retail boating market.

Year-over-year unit sales of new boats took their largest plunge in a decade, dropping more than 70,000 from 2006, and the number of powerboats sold also fell to a 10 -year low.

New boat retail expenditures were down, as they were for used boats. New and used engine expenditures were also down, as they were for trailers. That all added up to a decline in total retail expenditures

Data on the following pages has been excerpted from the NMMA's 2007 Recreational Boating Abstract. For more information about the 2007 Abstract, contact Vicky Yu at 312/946-6261 or vyu@nmma.org. To order a copy of the Abstract, contact NMMA Fulfillment Coordinator Chris Keil at orderdesk@nmma.org.

| YEAR OVER YEAR - NEW BOATS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | UNITS SOLD | POWERBOATS |
| 1997 | 593,000 | 291,200 |
| 1998 | 571,400 | 309,000 |
| 1999 | 582,500 | 328,900 |
| 2000 | 576,800 | 343,500 |
| 2001 | $880,300^{*}$ | 311,700 |
| 2002 | 844,100 | 303,600 |
| 2003 | $837,900 * *$ | 295,500 |
| 2004 | 870,100 | 307,900 |
| 2005 | 864,400 | 306,000 |
| 2006 | 912,130 | 291,900 |
| 2007 | 841,820 | 267,300 |

Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

* began tracking kayaks
** began tracking inflatables
For comparison purposes, the Powerboats column includes outboard boats, inboard boats (both ski/wakeboard and cruisers) and stern drive boats.

| RETAIL EXPENDITURES (IN MILLIONS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 |
| New Boat Retail Dollars | \$6,340 | \$6,508 | \$7,122 | \$8,752 | \$10,158 |
| Used Boat Retail Dollars | \$3,886 | \$4,338 | \$4,949 | \$5,791 | \$7,486 |
| New Outboard Engine Retail Dollars | \$2,006 | \$2,156 | \$2,602 | \$2,902 | \$2,411 |
| Used Outboard Engine Retail Dollars | \$1,230 | \$1,321 | \$1,595 | \$1,779 | \$1,478 |
| New Boat Trailer Retail Dollars | \$190 | \$190 | \$190 | \$184 | \$182 |
| Subtotal: <br> Boat/Motor/Trailer Dollars | \$13,651 | \$14,512 | \$16,459 | \$19,408 | \$21,714 |
| Estimated Accessory Aftermarket Sales | \$1,214 | \$1,650 | \$1,848 | \$2,033 | \$1,937 |
| Estimated Other (fuel, finance, insurance, docking, maintenance etc.) | \$3,573 | \$3,500 | \$4,014 | \$5,625 | \$6,058 |
| Total Expenditures | \$18,438 | \$19,663 | \$22,321 | \$27,066 | \$29,710 |

[^4]UNITS SOLD IN U.S. DOLLARS


UNITS SOLD YEAR OVER YEAR

*Previously reported in the individual power catagories

RETAIL EXPENDITURES (IN MILLIONS)

| 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,796 | \$10,027 | \$10,754 | \$11,574 | \$11,891 | \$11,739 |
| \$7,983 | \$7,362 | \$7,901 | \$9,112 | \$10,535 | \$9,641 |
| \$2,480 | \$2,555 | \$2,879 | \$3,155 | \$3,255 | \$2,689 |
| \$1,520 | \$1,566 | \$1,765 | \$1,934 | \$1,947 | \$1,741 |
| \$201 | \$202 | \$228 | \$247 | \$296 | \$232 |
| \$22,980 | \$21,711 | \$23,526 | \$26,022 | \$27,925 | \$26,042 |
| \$2,028 | \$2,124 | \$2,421 | \$2,905 | \$2,760 | \$2,608 |
| \$6,555 | \$6,448 | \$7,006 | \$8,389 | \$8,808 | \$8,833 |
| \$31,563 | \$30,283 | \$32,953 | \$37,317 | \$39,493 | \$37,483 |

$\pi /$hile the number of units sold in the outboard boats category fell to its lowest mark in the past 14 years, the total retail value of those unit sales jumped by more than $\$ 140$ million and the average unit cost made its biggest gain since 2003. Looking at market share in the fiberglass and aluminum out-
board boat segments, there were no changes in terms of share leaders from 2006 in any of the categories as identified by Info-Link. Tracker Marine led in all three aluminum outboard categories, as it did in 2006, while Ranger led in fiberglass boats 16 -to- 20 feet and 21-to- 24 feet and Grady White led in the over-24-foot category.

14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE

| YEAR | UNITS SOLD | RFALI | AVERAGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UNIT COST |  |  |  | OUTBOARD BOATS RETAIL COMPARISON
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## 2008 FIBERGLASS <br> Outboard Boats Market Share

FIBERGLASS 16' - 20'


## 2008 ALUMINUM

## Outboard Boats Market Share



Unit sales of stern drive boats fell to their lowest recorded levels in the 14 -year review. However, the average unit cost has climbed steadily almost every year during that time. Retail value slipped from its high mark last year.

The only change atop the market share rankings in 2007 was in

| 14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | UNTIS SOLD | REAALL | AVERAGE |  |
| 1994 | 90,000 | $\$ 1,668,780,000$ | $\$ 18,542$ |  |
| 1995 | 93,600 | $\$ 1,791,310,000$ | $\$ 19,138$ |  |
| 1996 | 94,500 | $\$ 1,925,248,500$ | $\$ 20,373$ |  |
| 1997 | 92,000 | $\$ 2,068,528,000$ | $\$ 22,484$ |  |
| 1998 | 77,700 | $\$ 1,746,696,000$ | $\$ 22,480$ |  |
| 1999 | 79,600 | $\$ 2,054,476,000$ | $\$ 25,810$ |  |
| 2000 | 78,400 | $\$ 2,253,843,200$ | $\$ 28,748$ |  |
| 2001 | 72,000 | $\$ 2,216,448,000$ | $\$ 30,784$ |  |
| 2002 | 69,300 | $\$ 2,192,929,200$ | $\$ 31,644$ |  |
| 2003 | 69,200 | $\$ 2,221,115,600$ | $\$ 32,097$ |  |
| 2004 | 71,100 | $\$ 2,368,085,700$ | $\$ 33,306$ |  |
| 2005 | 72,300 | $\$ 2,573,331,420$ | $\$ 35,592$ |  |
| 2006 | 67,700 | $\$ 2,724,065,700$ | $\$ 40,237$ |  |
| 2007 | 60,400 | $\$ 2,671,928,300$ | $\$ 44,237$ |  |
| Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract |  |  |  |  |

the 16 -to- 20 foot aluminum category, where Crestliner took over the top spot from Alumaweld, which fell to third.

Bayliner was once again the leader in the 16-to- 20 foot fiberglass category and Sea Ray was once more the leader in the 21-to-24 foot category.

## STERN DRIVE BOATS RETAIL COMPARISON



## 2008 FIBERGLASS <br> Stern Drive Boats Market Share

| FIBERGLASS 16' - 20' |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAKE | 2007 MARKETSHARE |
| 1. BAYLINER | 1. BAYLINER |
| 2. SEA RAY | 2. SEA RAY |
| 3. GLASTRON | 3. GLASTRON 4. TRACKER MARINE |
| 4. TRACKER MARINE | 5. FOUR WINNS |
| 5. FOUR WINNS | 6. STINGRAY |
| 6. STINGRAY | 7. CROWNLINE <br> 8. MAXUM |
| 7. MAXUM | 9. LARSON |
| 8. CROWNLINE | 10. CHAPARRAL |
| 9. LARSON | COMBINED SHARE 81.3\% |
| 10. CHAPARRAL |  |
| COMBINED SHARE: 82.7\% |  |
| FIBERGLASS 21' - 24' |  |
| MAKE | 2007 MARKETSHARE |
| 1. SEA RAY | MAKE |
| 2. CHAPARRAL | 1. SEA RAY |
| 3. TRACKER MARINE | 3. FOUR WINNS |
| 4. FOUR WINNS | 4. CROWNLINE |
| 5. CROWNLINE | 5. TRACKER MARINE <br> 6. BAYIINER |
| 6. COBALT | 7. COBALT |
| 7. BAYLINER | 8. LARSON |
| 8. GLASTRON | 9. MONTEREY |
| 9. LARSON | $\xrightarrow{\text { 10. RINKER }}$ |
| 10. MONTEREY |  |
| COMBINED SHARE: 72.4\% |  |

## 2008 ALUMINUM

Stern Drive Boats Market Share

| ALUMINUM 16' - 20' |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MAKE | 2007 MARKETSHARE |
| 1. CRESTLINER |  |
| 2. TRACKER MARINE | 1. ALUMAWELD |
| 3. ALUMAWELD | 2. CRESTLINER |
| 4. LUND | 4. LUND |
| 5. BENNINGTON | 5. STARCRAFT |
| 6. STARCRAFT | 6. THUNDER JET |
| 7. LOWE | 7. PRINCE CRAFT <br> 8. LOWE |
| 8. GODFREY | 9. GODFREY |
| 9. SMOKER CRAFT | 10. bennington |
| 10. AVALON \& TAHOE | COMBINED SHARE |
| COMBINED SHARE: 88.6\% |  |
| ALUMINUM 21' - $24{ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| MAKE | 2007 MARKETSHARE |
| 1. TRACKER MARINE |  |
| 2. BENNINGTON | 1. TRACKER MARINE |
| 3. GODFREY | 2. bennington |
| 4. J C MANUFACTURING | 3. GODFREY |
| 5. CRESTLINER | 4. JC MANUFACTURING <br> 5. LOWE |
| 6. CREST | 6. PRINCE CRAFT |
| 7. PRINCE CRAFT | 7. CRESTLINER |
| 8. LOWE | 8. THUNDER JET |
| 9. MANITOU | 9. HARRIS KAYOT <br> 10. Starcraft |
| 10. STARCRAFT |  |
| COMBINED SHARE: 82.3\% | COMBINED SHARE 87.6\% |

The number of outboard engine units sold fell below 300,000 for the first time since 2001 and was at its lowest total in the 14 -year review.
Not surprisingly then, the retail value of the segment was also down, with the $\$ 566,373,000$ drop in value year over year, the
largest one-year decline recorded in the review. The next largest one-year drop took place in 2001, when retail value fell $\$ 490,836,300$ from the year before.

The average unit cost of outboards fell by more than $\$ 1,000$ to $\$ 9,761$, down from the 2006 high of $\$ 10,790$.


Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

## OUTBOARD ENGINES RETAIL COMPARISON



The average unit cost in the inboard cruisers segment hit a high mark in 2007, climbing $\$ 20,954$ from 2006, when it had also set a record high.
However, total units sold dropped to a level not seen since before 1997 and the total retail value of sales in the segment con-

| 14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | UNIIS SOLD | RIALIL | AVERAGE |
| 1994 | 4,200 | $\$ 890,681,400$ | $\$ 212,067$ |
| 1995 | 5,460 | $\$ 1,169,504,700$ | $\$ 214,195$ |
| 1996 | 5,350 | $\$ 1,215,268,550$ | $\$ 227,153$ |
| 1997 | 6,300 | $\$ 1,669,103,100$ | $\$ 264,937$ |
| 1998 | 6,700 | $\$ 1,704,245,500$ | $\$ 254,365$ |
| 1999 | 7,000 | $\$ 1,799,420,000$ | $\$ 257,060$ |
| 2000 | 10,300 | $\$ 2,925,756,200$ | $\$ 284,054$ |
| 2001 | 10,800 | $\$ 3,758,475,600$ | $\$ 348,007$ |
| 2002 | 10,200 | $\$ 3,748,551,000$ | $\$ 367,505$ |
| 2003 | 8,100 | $\$ 3,019,926,200$ | $\$ 372,830$ |
| 2004 | 8,600 | $\$ 3,334,830,600$ | $\$ 387,771$ |
| 2005 | 7,800 | $\$ 3,118,557,000$ | $\$ 399,815$ |
| 2006 | 6,900 | $\$ 3,069,614,900$ | $\$ 444,872$ |
| 2007 | 6,200 | $\$ 2,888,122,600$ | $\$ 465,826$ |
| Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract |  |  |  |

tinued a decline that began in 2004. The retail value fell below the $\$ 3$ billion mark for the first time since the year 2000 and is now down to $\$ 2,888,122,600$ from the high that was set in 2001 when the total retail value was $\$ 3,758,475,600$, a difference of more than $\$ 870$ million.

INBOARD CRUISERS RETAIL COMPARISON


Although total units sold and retail value of boats in the ski/wakeboard segment declined in 2007, the losses weren't nearly as great as some of the other segments of the industry experienced.

Units sold dropped to 12,000 , but was still the third-highest total
recorded in the last 14 years. Retail value experienced a relatively small decline, from $\$ 568,357,200$ to $\$ 566,804,600$.

The average unit cost reached a new high of $\$ 47,234$, a jump of $\$ 3,848$ and the fourth-consecutive year that the unit cost average has risen.

## 14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE

| YEAR | TOTAL UNIIS SOLD | RIAAIL VALUE | AVERAGE UNIT COST |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1994 | 7,200 | \$142,776,000 | \$19,830 |
| 1995 | 6,900 | \$147,660,000 | \$21,400 |
| 1996 | 6,000 | \$126,234,000 | \$21,039 |
| 1997 | 6,100 | \$136,408,200 | \$22,362 |
| 1998 | 10,900 | \$253,348,700 | \$23,243 |
| 1999 | 12,100 | \$308,429,000 | \$25,490 |
| 2000 | 13,600 | \$366,438,400 | \$26,944 |
| 2001 | 11,100 | \$352,569,300 | \$31,763 |
| 2002 | 10,500 | \$398,811,000 | \$37,982 |
| 2003 | 11,100 | \$403,289,600 | \$36,332 |
| 2004 | 11,600 | \$435,382,800 | \$37,533 |
| 2005 | 12,600 | \$507,742,200 | \$40,297 |
| 2006 | 13,100 | \$568,357,200 | \$43,386 |
| 2007 | 12,000 | \$566,804,600 | \$47,234 |

Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

SKI/WAKEBOARD RETAIL COMPARISON


## Ride the WebsiteSmart Pro Demo Site Today at: wwwwss-procom! CATCHTHE eCOMMERCE WAVE WTTH <br> 

U.S. online retail sales are projected to grow $5.7 \%$ by $2012{ }^{-}$ Outsell your competition with WebsiteSmart Pro from ARI,
 connect with your customer. Buil in incormence bools and links to regularty updated manulacturer whole qooots, promotions and accessory combent improve deasieship teficiency. Lead tracking capablites holp meassue your markeling ROI.

A$s$ in other segments, the total number of units sold in the sailboats segment was down in 2007. The turn of the century was a high-point for sailboat sales, but those numbers have been falling since, with the exception of a slight uptick in 2005. However, even as unit sales have gone down, the retail value
and average unit cost have been rising. Retail value in the segment was up more than $\$ 64$ million from 2006 and has jumped almost $\$ 150$ million since 2002.

Average unit cost was up more than $\$ 10,000$ year over year to set a new record high of $\$ 60,708$.


## SAILBOATS RETAIL COMPARISON



| MID-SIZE AND |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SMALL SECMENTS |  |  |  |
|  | $0^{\prime}-19 '$ | $20^{\prime}-35^{\prime}$ | $36^{\prime}+$ |
| 2002 | 13,475 | 2,329 | 1,169 |
| 2003 | 13,055 | 2,117 | 1,130 |
| 2004 | 12,550 | 2,313 | 1,212 |
| 2005 | 12,601 | 2,275 | 1,120 |
| 2006 | 11,592 | 2,071 | 1,282 |
| 2007 | 11,265 | 1,792 | 1,101 |
| $06-$ '07 |  | $-13 \%$ | $-14 \%$ |
| $\%$ Change | $-3 \%$ | -13 |  |

Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract; The Sailing Company's Annual Sailing Business Review


The inflatables segment reported robust gains in the number of units sold, their total retail value and average unit cost, making inflatables one of the most successful segments in 2007.
After falling by 5,000 in 2006, the segment rebounded nicely in its unit sales, gaining much of that drop back with an
increase of 4,300 units.
Retail value skyrocketed, easily doubling from 2006 and breaking the previous high set in 2003 by $\$ 50$ million.

And the average unit cost doubled as well, breaking $\$ 4,000$ when the previous high, also set in 2003 , was $\$ 2,210$.
5-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE

| YEAR | UNITS SOLD | REALLL | AVERAGE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2003 | 30,500 | $\$ 67,417,200$ | $\$ 2,210$ |
| 2004 | 31,600 | $\$ 64,677,300$ | $\$ 2,047$ |
| 2005 | 30,100 | $\$ 57,551,200$ | $\$ 1,912$ |
| 2006 | 25,100 | $\$ 48,229,600$ | $\$ 1,921$ |
| 2007 | 29,400 | $\$ 117,961,200$ | $\$ 4,012$ |

## INFLATABLES RETAIL COMPARISON



Personal watercraft posted a slight loss in units sold and slight gains in both retail value and average unit cost in 2007.
The number of units sold fell 2,300 last year, giving back the 2,000 gain in unit sales from the year before and beginning to approach the record low of 79,300 set in 2002.

| 14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| YEAR | UNITS SOLD | REIALI | AVERAGE |
| 1994 | 142,000 | $\$ 804,430,000$ | $\$ 5,665$ |
| 1995 | 200,000 | $\$ 1,144,400,000$ | $\$ 5,722$ |
| 1996 | 191,000 | $\$ 1,208,648,000$ | $\$ 6,328$ |
| 1997 | 176,000 | $\$ 1,135,904,000$ | $\$ 6,454$ |
| 1998 | 130,000 | $\$ 868,530,000$ | $\$ 6,681$ |
| 1999 | 106,000 | $\$ 771,044,000$ | $\$ 7,274$ |
| 2000 | 92,000 | $\$ 720,176,000$ | $\$ 7,828$ |
| 2001 | 80,900 | $\$ 641,456,100$ | $\$ 7,929$ |
| 2002 | 79,300 | $\$ 697,681,400$ | $\$ 8,798$ |
| 2003 | 80,600 | $\$ 716,501,800$ | $\$ 8,890$ |
| 2004 | 79,500 | $\$ 733,454,700$ | $\$ 9,226$ |
| 2005 | 80,200 | $\$ 761,531,000$ | $\$ 9,495$ |
| 2006 | 82,200 | $\$ 792,079,200$ | $\$ 9,636$ |
| 2007 | 79,900 | $\$ 793,460,800$ | $\$ 9,931$ |

Average unit cost was at an all-time high of \$9,931 in 2007, up nearly $\$ 300$ from 2006, which had been the previous high.

And total retail value climbed more than $\$ 1$ million to $\$ 793,460,800$, but was still more than $\$ 400$ million below the record high in 1996.

## PWC RETAIL COMPARISON



Tet boat sales jumped in 2007 and records were set for both the total retail value of those sales and the average unit cost as well.

Those unit costs reached $\$ 27,784$, on average, in 2007 and continued an upward march that, with the exception of 2003 and 2006, has continued since 1995.

| 13-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES \& AVERAGE PRICE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| YEAR | UNTIS SOLD | RITALL | AVERAGE |
| 1995 | 14,700 | $\$ 141,796,000$ | $\$ 9,646$ |
| 1996 | 14,100 | $\$ 143,280,000$ | $\$ 10,162$ |
| 1997 | 11,700 | $\$ 144,389,700$ | $\$ 12,341$ |
| 1998 | 10,100 | $\$ 167,033,800$ | $\$ 16,538$ |
| 1999 | 7,800 | $\$ 132,678,000$ | $\$ 17,010$ |
| 2000 | 7,000 | $\$ 123,641,000$ | $\$ 17,663$ |
| 2001 | 6,200 | $\$ 118,692,800$ | $\$ 19,144$ |
| 2002 | 5,100 | $\$ 107,997,600$ | $\$ 21,176$ |
| 2003 | 5,600 | $\$ 115,268,200$ | $\$ 20,584$ |
| 2004 | 5,600 | $\$ 130,368,000$ | $\$ 23,280$ |
| 2005 | 6,700 | $\$ 168,223,600$ | $\$ 25,108$ |
| 2006 | 6,200 | $\$ 151,549,100$ | $\$ 24,443$ |
| 2007 | 6,800 | $\$ 188,928,300$ | $\$ 27,784$ |

Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

Unit sales climbed 600 in 2007 after falling 500 the year before that.

The total retail value of sales in the jet boat segment jumped $\$ 37,379,200$ from 2006, which was the second-biggest one-year gain behind the increase of $\$ 37,855,600$ in 2005.

## Jet BOATS RETAIL COMPARISON



The average unit retail price in the trailers segment fell for the first time in the 14-year review, dropping $\$ 421$ from its high of $\$ 2,260$ in 2006 to nearly equal the unit cost average in 2005.
Units sold and retail value were also down in 2007.
Unit sales reached a new low in the review, falling below 127,000 for the first time, although the decline has been taking place at fairly steady clip since the high of 207,000 in 1995.

However, the total retail sales value, while down sharply from last year's high of $\$ 295,874,800$, was still the third largest in the last 14 years.

The total retail value dropped by
 $\$ 63,786,800$ from 2006 to 2007 and was also down $\$ 15,460,600$ from the 2005 total.

| 14-YEAR REVIEW OF SALES |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | UNITS SOLD | RSALL <br> VALUE |
| 1994 | 176,000 | $\$ 162,976,000$ |
| 1995 | 207,000 | $\$ 194,994,000$ |
| 1996 | 194,000 | $\$ 189,344,000$ |
| 1997 | 181,000 | $\$ 190,050,000$ |
| 1998 | 174,000 | $\$ 189,660,000$ |
| 1999 | 168,000 | $\$ 190,008,000$ |
| 2000 | 158,500 | $\$ 184,494,000$ |


| 14-YEAR REVIEW |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| AVERAGE PRICE |  |
|  |  |
| YEAR | AVERAGE |
| UNIT COST |  |



## AT THE HELM

## A time to reflect

Two years ago at this time, we began hearing the rumblings of what industry insiders perceived to be the beginning a prolonged downturn for the marine market. Sales had shut off following the Fourth of July holiday, business leaders were looking for solutions to combat the slowdown, and it seems that many of them have been hunkered down, fighting off the storm, ever since.

It was also at that time that the Boating Industry brand made a major shift in its direction. Through discussion in editorial team meetings, we knew we had to act fast to help our readers. And we chose to do so not by following the traditional time-intensive requirements of our standard production cycle, but instead by launching the first of what would become a series of e-white papers, electronic publications, modeled after the Boating Industry magazine you have come to know and love, but distributed immediately through Web and e-mail applications.

The first e-white paper, "How to Thrive in a Down Market," borrowed expert tips, and advice, ideas and strategies for bolstering your business even when times are tough. This new product of ours hit the market to rave reviews, and since that time, we've expanded the concept to include best practices and case studies alongside the expert advice, and we have partnered with GE, Boat Trader and ValvTect to bring similar digital publications to market. And in the end, it helps us achieve our promise of being the trusted source that delivers you timely solutions that you can use for immediate results.

We're proud of these e-white papers, and as I write we have a series of them in store for release to you this fall. While this concept of being your trusted source for the solutions you need today started with the magazine, it has extended to every corner of our brand.

You can see a clear picture of it in the three-part, "Planning for Profits" cover package we sent out in our July issue. You can receive it in your inbox every week in the form of our Best Practices e-newsletter (subscribe for free at www.boating-industry.com). And you'll find more and more of it coming from Boating Industry as we roll out more products to help you achieve the success your business deserves.

In tough times like these, aligning yourself with strong brands and proven methods such as these is critical. It underscores the creativity and the partnership needed to strengthen your company and your brand in a difficult economic environment.

Barry Asmus, a senior economist for the National Center for Policy Analysis and one of the top-five requested speakers as recognized by the USA Today, recently told a group of marine industry leaders at the annual Marine Design Resource Alliance Creative Conference that "This is a time for pause in this industry. Don't waste this year because it will someday be viewed by you all as pivotal."

As you pause to consider your strategy going forward, make sure you're aligned with the brand that helps you deliver results.
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# The perfect storm 

In Oklahoma, the weather through mid-June has been hurting boat sales more than the economy has. In Georgia, the economy - which, in some cases has

## Economics Section Presented By

## HONDA marine

forced dealer gross profit margins to be cut by more than half - is said to be the worst some dealers have ever seen.

In California, one dealer watches the cars pass by on the freeway while another watches more than 130 boats sit idle in his inventory. And thousands of miles to the east, in Connecticut, a dealer had just guided a customer to a 500 -pound marlin, only to have the excitement stifled with a conversation about the cost of gas.

It's no secret that times are tough for the marine industry. Boating businesses and consumers alike are being beaten down from every angle by this perfect storm.

Take a look at some of the historically strong boating states, for example. Minnesota, the fifth-ranked boating
state in terms of total retail expenditures just five years ago, saw retail dollars spent on boating plummet more than 30 percent, or nearly $\$ 154$ million, since last year alone. The Land of 10,000 lakes now ranks 15 th. Next door in Wisconsin, retail boating expenditures dropped $\$ 110.5$ million, and across the lake, Michigan continued its long, slow decline, finishing 2007 with just more than $\$ 363$ million dollars in retail sales ... some 40 percent below its value just four years ago.

Texas dropped more than 10 percent in retail sales year over year, but still sold enough boats and equipment to leapfrog California for the first time in overall rankings of state boating expenditures. The top two states from 2006 - Florida and California - saw \$688 million drop out of their boating economies in one year.

The downturn hasn't been isolated to these, the hardest-hit states. Seventeen of the top 20 boating states saw a decline in boating related expenditures, and total unit sales of powerboats across the United States fell 8 percent year-over-year.

With retail unit sales of powerboats 14 to 30 feet down more than 14 percent thru April on a rolling 12-month basis and down 23.5 percent through the first quarter of 2008, the industrywide downturn appears to be accelerating.

## Fuel for the fire

Fueling this acceleration are a multitude of factors. Past downturns
have been sparked by such factors as the luxury tax or the terrorist attacks of $9 / 11$. This time around, it's not a single factor but a compilation of issues that are bombarding consumers from every angle. And the trouble is, many business leaders don't know how we'll pull out of it.

Initially, the downturn in the housing market began the consumer crunch.
"During the housing boom boat sales benefited as non-traditional buyers with access to easy credit and mortgage equity put their windfall to use on boats and other leisure items," wrote Wachovia Corp. in a special boating market commentary released in early July, But according to a Federal Reserve report, by the end of 2007, the average homeowners' equity - the market value minus the mortgage balance - fell below 50 percent for the first time since World War II. It is believed that the dramatic over-production of homes created an abundance of new home inventory, and this classic supply and demand equation ultimately drove home values down.

In fact, home values were declining even as new and existing home sales peaked in mid-2005 at more than 8.5 million homes. Since that time, home sales have dropped sharply to barely more than 5.5 million through May of 2008. Existing home sales, which the National Association of Realtors says makes up 85 percent of home sales and are a better indicator of demand, are up 2 percent since the April numbers, but still 15.9 percent below the pace of one year ago.

Housing starts, which many analysts use as a primary forecasting indicator for economic conditions, dipped again by 3.3 percent in May to a seasonally adjusted annual rate of 975,000 units, the lowest total starts in nearly 17 years. The number of starts, analysts believe, indicates the availability of money and financing in the market.

Home equity is not the only factor stealing dollars out of the market, though. Gas prices, at more than $\$ 4$ per gallon, are nearly four-times greater than what they were in the recession of 1990 and '91, and nearly three-times what they were just seven years ago. And while the cost of fuel drives up the living expenses for consumers through food and other retail products, not to mention the cost of transporting marine products around the globe, spikes in the cost of raw materials are further increasing the price of manufacturing boats, engines and trailers.

Figure in an unemployment rate that climbed to 5.5 percent in May - a full percentage point higher than a year earlier - and a Consumer Confidence Index that declined, again in June, to 50.4 from 58.1 in May, a 16-year low, and it doesn't matter how you look at it: The economic climate today is worse than it has been in recent memory.

| BIGGEST LOSERS:LARGEST PERCENT DECREASES IN BOATING RETAIL DOLLARS |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2007 Total | 2006 Total | Difference | \% Difference |
| Washington, D.C. | \$2,607 | \$10,462 | \$7,855 | 75.5\% |
| New Mexico | \$34,735 | \$93,833 | \$59,098 | 63.0\% |
| California | \$820,144 | \$1,210,422 | \$390,278 | 32.2\% |
| Minnesota | \$356,550 | \$510,549 | \$153,999 | 30.2\% |
| Wisconsin | \$363,051 | \$473,545 | \$110,494 | 23.3\% |
| Oregon | \$242,549 | \$311,717 | \$69,168 | 22.2\% |
| Michigan | \$385,801 | \$495,140 | \$109,339 | 22.1\% |
| Massachusetts | \$229,114 | \$293,757 | \$64,643 | 22.0\% |
| Missouri | \$272,979 | \$349,638 | \$76,659 | 21.9\% |
| Kentucky | \$143,471 | \$178,891 | \$35,420 | 19.8\% |
| Pennsylvania | \$209,351 | \$260,078 | \$50,727 | 19.5\% |
| Kansas | \$65,040 | \$80,367 | \$15,327 | 19.1\% |
| Ohio | \$248,814 | \$307,354 | \$58,540 | 19.0\% |
| North Dakota | \$41,562 | \$51,144 | \$9,582 | 18.7\% |
| Washington | \$489,123 | \$597,854 | \$108,731 | 18.1\% |
|  | \$3,904,891 | \$5,224,751 | \$1,319,860 |  |

Dollars shown in thousands.
Other notables: Florida down 12.3\%, Delaware up 23.1\%.

## The impact

Recent memory for the marine dealer body is not so kind, either. Prior to the month of May, in fact, it had been six months since the average dealers whose performance is tracked by Spader Business Management had realized a net profit.

Through May, Spader, the training and consulting firm that tracks some of the industry's highest-performing dealers, reported that new boat sales for its average dealer were 28.8 percent lower than the same period of 2007 . Despite the fact that these dealers continued to report significantly lower spending in all expense categories, the average dealer reported a net profit through the first five months of 2008 of only $\$ 17,198$ - more than 88 percent lower than the same period of the year prior. All things considered now, though, that was actually good news. At the end of April, the group's net (loss) had grown by more than 475 percent, year over year.

If this is a sampling of some of the higher-performing dealers, it should come as no surprise, then, that many people believe the industry will lose a number of dealers in the coming months.

But it's not just the dealers who are struggling. Across the industry, manufacturers, too, are halting production, furloughing staff, shuttering brands, canceling dealer shows, taking shelter to survive the downturn or closing their doors altogether.

Brunswick, the largest boat builder in the industry, cut another 1,000 jobs and closed four more manufacturing plants at the end of June. By the end of the re-sizing, which began in 2007, says Peter Leempuette, Brunswick CFO, the company will have shrunk by 4,000 employees. At press time, that leaves about 1,600 positions left to cut. And to date, the company has closed eight manufacturing plants and plans to close four more by the end of 2009.
"We have addressed the prolonged downturn in the U.S. marine market by continually reducing production rates throughout our marine businesses, divesting under-utilized assets, exiting or divesting certain businesses, eliminating discretionary spending and reducing headcount," explained Brunswick Chairman and CEO Dusty McCoy. "While these efforts have resulted in significant savings, the realities of the current U.S. marine market have caused us to step up the pace and magnitude of these efforts."
"Our goal," he added in a June 26 conference call, "is for Brunswick to produce mid-sin-gle-digit operating margins in 2010, even if we have a sales base of $\$ 5$ billion dollars."

Fountain Powerboats, who like Brunswick is a public company, received notice from the American Stock Exchange that it is not in compliance with one of the Amex standards for the continued listing of the company's common stock. Specifically referencing Part 10 of the Amex Company Guide, the statement said that, "...the Company is not in compliance with

Section 1003(a)(iv) of the Company Guide in that it has sustained losses which are so substantial in relation to its overall operations or its existing financial resources, or its financial condition has become so impaired that it appears questionable, in the opinion of the Exchange, whether such company will be able to continue operations and/or meet its obligations as they mature."

Fountain said the notice was based on Amex's review of the boat builder's quarterly report, which outlined a 13.7-percent year-over-year decrease in net sales (\$14.22 million), when compared to the same quarter of the previous year ( $\$ 16.48$ million), and a net loss of nearly $\$ 2$ million. Fountain said in its report that it intends to "carefully evaluate how it will respond to Amex's letter, including whether it will be able to submit a plan with a viable chance of success."

And it seems that every day brings news of others who are struggling. In late June, a trio of Arkansas-based companies - Ranger Boats, BassCat Boats and EZ Loader - cut their production. The same week Chris Craft announced that 15 production line jobs and a warehouse position had been cut.

## An end in sight?

Back in April, Anika Khan, economist at Wachovia Corp., addressed a small crowd of industry leaders at the annual Marine Design Resource Alliance's Annual Creative Conference. She wrapped up her economic overview presentation by telling the audience that Wachovia views the second quarter of 2008 as the worst of the year and that by the end of the year, the nation will be coming out of what was perceived at the time to be a recession.

She said 2009 will be a recovery stage for the economy, where consumer spending, including that on boats, will remain subdued, and the boat market as a whole won't see a pick-up on boats until 2010.

But, she said, it will be " 2010 to 2011 before places like Florida will come out of it." With so much of the marine market dependent upon states that fall into that frame of reference - California, Minnesota and Michigan are states that, like Florida, are dealing with greater economic hardship - it's hard to really believe the boating market will begin to rebound in 2010. Consider Orlando and Tampa, for example. These two prime boating cities alone had 34 and 30 months, respectively, of available housing inventory on the market earlier this year.

However, Wachovia re-stated its position following the Brunswick layoffs in late June by saying that the company continues to believe that "it will be at least this time next year before initial evidence could emerge that the U.S. retail marine market is stabilizing."

Bruce Van Wagoner from GE Commercial Distribution Finance, the commercial lending arm of GE, suggested that his division is planning for a "more normal cycle beginning in the second quarter of next year."

In order for that to happen, the housing market must bottom out, and the housing market's negative impact on consumer spending must diminish. The credit crunch will also need to be relieved, and consumers will need to find ways to work around the energy and food pricing that have been pinching consumer budgets even further.

Wachovia said in its report that it estimates "that the slide in home prices will bottom, and the rate of foreclosures will top out in early 2009 , but that we still have a long way to go before the market is back in balance."

All in all, Van Wagoner says, while the marine industry has made it
through very difficult times and will do so again, it will need to be much more patient this time around. Traditional powerboat sales have been on the decline since 2005 , and by all indications, it will be at least 12 to 18 months before they begin to pick up.

Industry insiders and analysts alike look to specific leading indicators, such as consumer confidence, housing starts, real GDP, unemployment rates, and personal consumption. Wachovia, for example, suggests that boat sales lag the existing home sales market by one year. Similarly, the marine industry typically lags the RV industry, as well.
"I think it's going to take another six to 12 months before we see the economy rebound, and probably closer to 12 months," says Grant Skeens, president and CEO, Key Recreation Lending. "And as these things usually go, the RV business will recover, probably first, and marine will follow three to six months after that."

## A break in the clouds

But while the outlook for discretionary spending and eventual rebound remain distant, there are opportunities on the horizon for marine businesses. There are opportunities now to run our businesses more creatively and more efficiently. Doing so positions us, both individually and as an industry, for a better future.
"We are in a unique position in that unlike most industries, we are selling a lifestyle," says Van Wagoner, "and as the world gets more and more developed and fast-paced, the need for an escape will become more prevalent. Boating offers an outlet to consumers that will always be desired."

Brunswick's McCoy agrees.
"We're going through a short-term problem right now," he says. "And ... when we get through this, there will be more wealth in the United States and the rest of the world than we've ever had, as an industry, the opportunity to tap into.
"We all have to accept we'll get through these short-term issues," he continues. "But the future of the industry, in my view, is an industry that sells something nobody needs and that people aspire to, has never been brighter. We just have to go market and attract that growing number of people who are accumulating wealth but who have never boated before. It's difficult during times like this to be saying the future of the industry has never been brighter, but I really believe it." \&


[^0]:    $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ Includes the value of the trailer. $\left({ }^{* * * *)}\right.$ Includes the value of the flight control tower, extended pylon and LCS (launch control system ballast tanks).

[^1]:    (*)Includes the value of the trailer.

[^2]:    Numbers are rounded and may not reflect, in some cases, the exact actual numbers.

[^3]:    For more Market Data Book information, please visit
    www.boating-industry.com

[^4]:    Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

[^5]:    Source: NMMA 2007 Abstract

